UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,360	11/29/2001	Rowan Bosworth-Davies	TN223	· 5529	
UNISYS Corpo	7590 09/25/200 pration	7	EXAMINER		
Unisys Way, MS/E8-114			PATEL, J	PATEL, JAGDISH	
Blue Bell, PA	19424-0001	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3693		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		09/998,360	BOSWORTH-DAVIES ET A	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		JAGDISH PATEL	3693	
David fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period fo		/ 10 0FT TO EVENE - 1		_
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed properties of this communication and the mailing date of this communication and the second properties of the se	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/10/	<u>′07.</u> .	•	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-67 is/are pending in the application	cation.		
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-67 is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in .	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
" (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmen	• •			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application .	

Art Unit: 3693

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See the following explanation.
  - (i) It appears that the applicant has inadvertently overlooked the priority data on the front page of the Lee et al. (2002/0099649), which lists provisional application No. 60/195,156 under "Related U.S. Application Data" with filing date of 4/6/2000, on the right column. Therefore, the effective 103 date of the Lee reference is 4/6/200 which is prior to effective filing date of the instant application which is November 30, 2000. Accordingly, Lee is a proper prior art to base a rejection under 35 USC 103.

[The applicant states that the claims were rejected as being "anticipated by Lee et al.". The examiner would like to point out that the claims were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being "unpatentable over Lee et al.". However, this error has no bearing on the foregoing issue of the effective priority date of Lee.]

(ii) The applicant argues that the Lee does not teach or suggest the limitation of "predetermined rules being selectable from a set of rules available for selection by an institution responsible for said first database." The examiner disagrees with this interpretation of Lee reference by the applicant because as pointed out by the examiner at paragraph [0075] Lee teaches that the policy management workstation (PMW) allows merchant to "define, edit, delete any rules it desires". It is noted that once a merchant

Application/Control Number: 09/998,360

**Art** Unit: 3693

Page 3

defines a set of rules they become a set of rules available for selection by the merchant (i.e. the institution responsible for the rules 108). The merchant then, can select desired rules via the edit, delete and define functions available to it.

Based on the foregoing reasoning, the applicant's are arguments are deemed non-persuasive and rejection of claims 1 and 3-67 over Lee reference under 35 USC 103(a) is maintained.

## **Conclusion**

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/998,360

Art Unit: 3693

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-630PM Mon-Tue and Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **KRAMER JAMES A** can be reached on **(571)272-6783**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3693)

9/20/07

Application/Control Number: 09/998,360

Art Unit: 3693

Page 5